

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/533,028	04/26/20	005	George D Hartman	20942P	2202
210	7590 I	0/03/2006	•	EXAMINER	
MERCK A	ND CO., INC	RAO, DEEPAK R			
P O BOX 20		-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KAHWAY,	NJ 07065-0907	/		1624	TATERNOMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/533,028	HARTMAN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Deepak Rao	1624					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	uly 2006						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
ال (ت	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	·	expans quayio, 1000 c.b. 11, 10						
Disposition of Claims								
	Claim(s) 1-10,14,16,58 and 59 Nare pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 14, 16, 58-59</u> Nare rejected.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
			d in this National Stage					
* 0	application from the International Burea		_					
3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	0 .					
Attachment	(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on July 24, 2006.

Claims 1-10, 14, 16 and 58-59 are pending in this application.

Withdrawn Rejections/Objections:

Applicant is notified that any outstanding rejection/objection that is not expressly maintained in this office action has been withdrawn or rendered moot in view of applicant's amendments and/or remarks.

The following rejections are maintained:

1. Claims 1-10, 14, 16, and new claims 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bilodeau et al., WO 02/45652. The reference teaches a generic group of pyrimidine compounds, which encompass the instantly claimed compounds, see formula I in page 5 wherein one of the substituents R¹ or R² are as defined in page 6, lines 1-13, which list includes the groups:

 $(C=O)_rO_s(C_1-C_{10})$ alkyl,

(C=O)_rO_sheterocyclyl wherein r and s are independently 0 or 1,

(C₀-C₆)alkyl-NR^aR^b; etc.

As can be seen from the above, the reference genus includes compounds wherein the pyrimidine core is substituted with –O-heterocyclyl when r is 0 and s is 1. The reference discloses specific compounds wherein the pyrimidine core is substituted with –OMe (e.g., compound 22-11); - N(CH₃)-CH₂-piperidinyl (e.g., compound 19-4); etc. Therefore, the reference teaches the

Application/Control Number: 10/533,028 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

equivalency of the various substituents defined under the definition of the variables R¹ and R², as these substituent groups are disclosed to be alternatives. The reference compounds are taught to be useful as pharmaceutical therapeutic agents having kinase inhibitory activity, see pages 15-19. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select any of the species of the genus taught by the reference, including those instantly claimed, because the skilled chemist would have the reasonable expectation that any of the species of the genus would have similar properties and, thus, the same use as taught for the genus as a whole i.e., as therapeutic agents. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to select the claimed compounds from the genus in the reference since such compounds would have been suggested by the reference as a whole. It has been held that a prior art disclosed genus of useful compounds is sufficient to render prima facie obvious a species falling within a genus.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they were not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant argues that 'the reference does not teach, nor suggest, replacing the N at the "X" position with an oxygen or sulfur atom". The reference, however, teaches the equivalency of the various substituent groups provided under the definition of R¹ and R² as they are taught to be alternatives. This equivalency of substituents provides sufficient motivation to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare other compounds within the genus taught by the reference with the reasonable expectation of obtaining compounds with similar properties and therefore the same use as taught for the reference compounds.

2. Claims 1-10, 14, 16, and new claims 58-59 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10, 12-15,

Application/Control Number: 10/533,028

Art Unit: 1624

17-18, 20-21, 23, 26-33, 40 and 44-46 of copending Application No. 10/677,687 (now allowed, and will be issued as U.S. Patent No. 7,115,597, issue date October 3, 2006). The reasons provided in the previous office action and the reasons provided for 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection above are incorporated here by reference. Applicant did not specifically argue this rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0672. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deepak Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

September 28, 2006